# An inequality involving 2n numbers Darij Grinberg

(version 22 August 2007)

# 1. The main inequality

In this note we are going to discuss two proofs and some applications of the following inequality:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  be 2n reals. Assume that  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$  or  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge 0$ . Then,

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j\right)^2 \ge 4 \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} a_i a_j \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} b_i b_j. \tag{1.1}$$

A remark about notation:

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \text{ is an abbreviation for } \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n, \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \ i \neq j}.$$

An important particular case of Theorem 1.1 is obtained when we set n = 3,  $a_1 = a$ ,  $a_2 = b$ ,  $a_3 = c$ ,  $b_1 = x$ ,  $b_2 = y$ ,  $b_3 = z$ :

**Theorem 1.2.** Let a, b, c, x, y, z be six reals. Assume that  $bc+ca+ab \ge 0$  or  $yz + zx + xy \ge 0$ . Then,

$$(ay + az + bz + bx + cx + cy)^2 \ge 4(bc + ca + ab)(yz + zx + xy).$$

We are going to discuss in brief - and without proof - the equality case in Theorem 1.1. Before we can do this, we need to establish a notation:

The notation  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \sim (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$  is going to mean that for every two numbers i and j from the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , we have  $a_ib_j = b_ia_j$ . Note that if all numbers  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  are nonzero, then  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \sim (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$  is equivalent to  $\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \frac{a_2}{b_2} = ... = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$ .

Now, the question when equality holds in Theorem 1.1 can be answered:

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  be 2n reals. Assume that  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$  or  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge 0$ . Then, the inequality (1.1) becomes an equality if and only if (at least) one of the following three cases holds:

Case 1: We have  $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \sim (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ .

Case 2: We have  $\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j = 0$  and  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j = 0$ .

Case 3: We have  $\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j = 0$  and  $\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} b_i b_j = 0$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Here and in the following, "or" means a logical "or". That is, when we say " $\mathcal{A}$  or  $\mathcal{B}$ ", we mean "at least one of the two assertions  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  holds".

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is straightforward: Just follow our proofs of Theorem 1.1 and look out for possible equality cases.

Note that the 39th Yugoslav Federal Mathematical Competition 1998 featured a weaker version of Theorem 1.1 as problem 1 for the 3rd and 4th grades - weaker because it required the reals  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  to be nonnegative (while Theorem 1.1 only requires one of the two relations  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge 0$  to hold).

The n=3 case of this weaker version was discussed with a number of proofs in [1]. We are not going to focus on these weaker versions here, but rather show Theorem 1.1 in its general case.

# 2. Two proofs of Theorem 1.1

First proof of Theorem 1.1. The following proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by Sung-yoon Kim's post #5 in [1]. The crux is the following fact:

**Theorem 2.1, the Aczel inequality.** If a and b are two reals, and  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , ...,  $a_n$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , ...,  $b_n$  are 2n reals such that  $a^2 \ge \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$ , then

$$\left(ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 \ge \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right) \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since  $a^2 \ge \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$ , we have  $a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \ge 0$ .

Now, if 
$$b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 < 0$$
, then  $\left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right) \le 0$  (since  $a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \ge 0$ ), so that (2.1) becomes trivial (since  $\left(ab - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k\right)^2 \ge 0 \ge \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right)$ ).

Thus, Theorem 2.1 is proven in the case when  $b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 < 0$ . It remains to prove Theorem 2.1 in the case when  $b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \ge 0$ .

Consequently, we assume that  $b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \ge 0$  for the rest of this proof. Then, both numbers  $a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$  and  $b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2$  are nonnegative, so that they have square roots. Now, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2 \ge \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2.$$

Taking the square root, we obtain

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2} \ge \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k \right|. \tag{2.2}$$

Hence,

$$|ab| = \sqrt{(ab)^2} = \sqrt{a^2b^2} = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right)\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 + \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right)\right)}$$

$$\geq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2} + \sqrt{\left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2\right) \cdot \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2\right)}$$
(by Cauchy-Schwarz in the form  $\sqrt{(u+v)(u'+v')} \geq \sqrt{uu'} + \sqrt{vv'}$ , applied to  $u = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$ ,  $v = a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2$ ,  $u' = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2$ ,  $v' = b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2$ , what is possible because these  $u, v, u', v'$  are all nonnegative)

$$\geq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_k \right| + \sqrt{\left( a^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k^2 \right) \cdot \left( b^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k^2 \right)}$$
 (by (2.2)),

so that

$$|ab| - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k \right| \ge \sqrt{\left( a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2 \right) \cdot \left( b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2 \right)}.$$

Since the right hand side of this inequality is  $\geq 0$  (because it is a square root), the left hand side must also be  $\geq 0$  (since it is greater or equal than the right hand side), and thus we can square this inequality. Upon squaring it, we obtain

$$\left(|ab| - \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right|\right)^2 \ge \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right) \cdot \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right).$$

Since  $|x - y| \ge ||x| - |y||$  for any two reals x and y, we have  $\left|ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right| \ge \left||ab| - \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right|\right|$ . Squaring this inequality, we obtain  $\left(ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 \ge \left(|ab| - \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right|\right)^2$ . Thus,

$$\left(ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 \ge \left(|ab| - \left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right|\right)^2 \ge \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right) \cdot \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right),$$

and Theorem 2.1 is proven.

Now on to the proof of Theorem 1.1:

According to the condition of Theorem 1.1, we have  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$  or  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge 0$ 

0. We can WLOG assume that  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$  holds. Denote  $a = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$  and  $b = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k$ . Then,

$$a^{2} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\right)^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2} + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{i} a_{j}}_{>0} \ge \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}.$$

Hence, we can apply Theorem 2.1 and obtain

$$\left(ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 \ge \left(a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right) \left(b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right).$$
(2.3)

But

$$ab - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k = \sum_{1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le n} a_i b_j - \sum_{1 \le i = j \le n} a_i b_j = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j,$$

and also

$$a^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k\right)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j\right) - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 = 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j,$$

and similarly

$$b^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2 = 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j.$$

Hence, (2.3) becomes

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j\right)^2 \ge 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \cdot 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j.$$

This is obviously equivalent to (1.1). Thus, (1.1) holds, so that Theorem 1.1 is proven. Second proof of Theorem 1.1. We start with something trivial:

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  are n reals such that  $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k = 0$ , then  $\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} u_i u_j \le 0$ .

*Proof of Lemma 2.2.* The condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k = 0$  yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^2 \ge 0 \qquad \text{(since a sum of squares is always } \ge 0)$$

$$=0^{2}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}u_{k}\right)^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}u_{k}^{2}+2\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq n}u_{i}u_{j},$$

so that  $0 \ge 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} u_i u_j$  and thus  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} u_i u_j \le 0$ . This proves Lemma 2.2. Now to the proof of Theorem 1.1: According to the condition of Theorem 1.1, we

Now to the proof of Theorem 1.1: According to the condition of Theorem 1.1, we have  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \geq 0$  or  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_i b_j \geq 0$ . We WLOG assume that  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \geq 0$  holds.

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = 0$ , then Lemma 2.2 (applied to the reals  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  as  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$ ) yields  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \le 0$ , what, together with  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \ge 0$ , leads to  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j = 0$ ,

so that the inequality (1.1) becomes trivial (because its left hand side,  $\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j\right)^2$ , is  $\geq 0$  since it is a square, and its right hand side,  $4\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_i b_j$ , equals 0 because of  $\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j = 0$ ). Hence, Theorem 1.1 is proven in the case when  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = 0$ .

Therefore, for the rest of our proof of Theorem 1.1, we will assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \neq 0$ .

Then, we can define a real  $t=\frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^nb_k}{\sum\limits_{k=1}^na_k}$ , and set  $c_i=b_i-ta_i$  for every  $i\in\{1,2,...,n\}$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n}$$

But

$$\begin{split} &\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i c_j\right)^2 - 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_i c_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i \left(b_j - t a_j\right)\right)^2 - 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(b_i - t a_i\right) \left(b_j - t a_j\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \left(a_i b_j - t a_i a_j\right)\right)^2 - 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(b_i b_j + t^2 a_i a_j - t a_i b_j - t a_j b_i\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j - t \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i a_j\right)^2 \\ &- 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_i b_j + t^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j - t \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i b_j + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_j b_i\right)\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j - 2t \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j\right)^2 - 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_i b_j + t^2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j - t \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j\right)^2 - 4t \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} a_i b_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j + 4t^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j\right)^2 \\ &- 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i$$

Hence,

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} a_i b_j\right)^2 - 4 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} a_i a_j \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge 0.$$

This immediately yields (1.1). Theorem 1.1 is therefore proved once again.

### 3. The first applications

The next paragraphs are devoted to various applications of Theorem 1.1. We start with a very easy one:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $r \ge 1$  be a real, and let a, b, c be three nonnegative reals satisfying  $bc + ca + ab \ge 3$ . Then,  $a^r(b+c) + b^r(c+a) + c^r(a+b) \ge 6$ .

Note that this theorem is a slightly extended version of [3], problem 5.2.14 and problem 8.2.21. The original source of this inequality is: Walther Janous and Vasile Cîrtoaje, CM, 5, 2003.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Applying Theorem 1.2 for  $x = a^r$ ,  $y = b^r$ ,  $z = c^r$  (obviously,  $bc + ca + ab \ge 0$  holds because a, b, c are nonnegative), we get

$$(ab^r + ac^r + bc^r + ba^r + ca^r + cb^r)^2 \ge 4(bc + ca + ab)(b^rc^r + c^ra^r + a^rb^r).$$

This rewrites as

$$(a^{r}(b+c)+b^{r}(c+a)+c^{r}(a+b))^{2} \ge 4(bc+ca+ab)((bc)^{r}+(ca)^{r}+(ab)^{r}).$$

After taking the square root, this becomes

$$a^{r}(b+c) + b^{r}(c+a) + c^{r}(a+b) \ge 2\sqrt{(bc+ca+ab)((bc)^{r}+(ca)^{r}+(ab)^{r})}$$

Now,  $bc+ca+ab \ge 3$ , and since  $r \ge 1$ , the power mean inequality yields  $\sqrt[r]{\frac{(bc)^r + (ca)^r + (ab)^r}{3}} \ge \frac{bc + ca + ab}{3} \ge \frac{3}{3} = 1$ , so  $\frac{(bc)^r + (ca)^r + (ab)^r}{3} \ge 1^r = 1$ , so that  $(bc)^r + (ca)^r + (ab)^r \ge 3$ . Hence,

$$a^{r}(b+c) + b^{r}(c+a) + c^{r}(a+b) \ge 2\sqrt{(bc+ca+ab)((bc)^{r}+(ca)^{r}+(ab)^{r})}$$
  
  $\ge 2\sqrt{3\cdot 3} = 6,$ 

and Theorem 3.1 is proven.

#### 4. Walther Janous for n variables

Our next application is a generalization of a known inequality by Walther Janous. First we settle an auxiliary fact:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n = 1$ , and no n - 1 of these numbers are 0. Then,

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{x_i x_j}{\left(1 - x_i\right)\left(1 - x_j\right)} \ge \frac{n}{2\left(n - 1\right)}.$$

This Theorem 4.1 is problem 6.3.12 in [3], where it is proven using the Arithmetic Compensation Method, and is due to Gabriel Dospinescu (who is also known under the nickname Harazi).

Proof of Theorem 4.1. First,

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{x_i x_j}{(1 - x_i)(1 - x_j)} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{(x_i x_j)^2}{x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j)}.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the Engel form<sup>2</sup>,

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{(x_i x_j)^2}{x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j)} \ge \frac{\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j\right)^2}{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j)}.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i^2}{b_i} \ge \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)^2}{b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n},$$

which holds for any n reals  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  and any n positive reals  $b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the Engel form is the inequality

Hence, in order to prove that

$$\sum_{1 < i < j < n} \frac{x_i x_j}{\left(1 - x_i\right)\left(1 - x_j\right)} \ge \frac{n}{2\left(n - 1\right)},$$

it remains to verify

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j\right)^2}{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j)} \ge \frac{n}{2(n-1)}.$$
(4.1)

But

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j + \sum_{1 \le j < i \le n} x_i x_j \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i \le n, \ 1 \le j \le n, \ i \ne j} x_i x_j = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} x_j$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - x_i \right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left( 1 - x_i \right). \tag{4.2}$$

But for any n reals  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$ , we have

$$(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 \ge \frac{2n}{n-1} \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} u_i u_j.$$
(4.3)

This can be verified as follows: We have

$$(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 = (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \dots + u_n^2) + 2\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} u_i u_j \ge \frac{1}{n} (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} u_i u_j$$

(because of the inequality  $u_1^2 + u_2^2 + ... + u_n^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} (u_1 + u_2 + ... + u_n)^2$  that follows from QM-AM), so that

$$(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 - \frac{1}{n} (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 \ge 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} u_i u_j, \quad \text{what becomes}$$

$$\frac{n-1}{n} \cdot (u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 \ge 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} u_i u_j, \quad \text{what becomes}$$

$$(u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_n)^2 \ge \frac{2n}{n-1} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} u_i u_j,$$

and thus (4.3) is proven.

Now, according to (4.2), we have

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (1 - x_i)\right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (1 - x_i)\right)^2$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2n}{n-1} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j) \qquad \text{(where we used (4.3) for } u_i = x_i (1 - x_i))$$

$$= \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i (1 - x_i) \cdot x_j (1 - x_j),$$

so that

$$\frac{\left(\sum\limits_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i x_j\right)^2}{\sum\limits_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_i \left(1 - x_i\right) \cdot x_j \left(1 - x_j\right)} \ge \frac{n}{2(n-1)},$$

and (4.1) is proven. This proves Theorem 4.1.

What I find interesting is that Theorem 4.1 can be made stronger - the condition that  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are nonnegative can be replaced by the weaker condition that  $x_i < 1$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . The resulting fact is, however, more difficult to prove - see [4].

Now we come to the main result:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n, b_1, b_2, ..., b_n$  be 2n nonnegative reals. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{\sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} a_j} \sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} b_j \ge \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j} \ge \frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i}.$$

As a particular case of Theorem 4.2 (for n = 3,  $a_1 = a$ ,  $a_2 = b$ ,  $a_3 = c$ ,  $b_1 = u$ ,  $b_2 = v$ ,  $b_3 = w$ ), we obtain:

**Theorem 4.3.** If a, b, c, u, v, w are six nonnegative reals, then

$$\frac{a}{b+c}\left(v+w\right) + \frac{b}{c+a}\left(w+u\right) + \frac{c}{a+b}\left(u+v\right) \ge \sqrt{3\left(vw+wu+uv\right)} \ge \frac{3\left(vw+wu+uv\right)}{u+v+w}.$$

This inequality is a strengthening of the celebrated inequality

$$\frac{a}{b+c}(v+w) + \frac{b}{c+a}(w+u) + \frac{c}{a+b}(u+v) \ge \frac{3(vw+wu+uv)}{u+v+w},$$

which was proposed by Walther Janous as Crux Mathematicorum problem #1672, and discussed in [5] (among other places).

Proof of Theorem 4.2. WLOG assume that  $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_n = 1$ . For every  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , denote

$$c_i = \frac{a_i}{\sum_{1 \le i \le n, \ i \ne i} a_j} = \frac{a_i}{(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) - a_i} = \frac{a_i}{1 - a_i}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{\sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} a_j} \sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} b_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \sum_{1 \le j \le n, \ j \ne i} b_j = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} c_i b_j. \tag{4.4}$$

But according to Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} c_i b_j\right)^2 \ge 4 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} c_i c_j \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j,$$

so that, after taking the square root,

$$\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le n} c_i b_j \ge 2 \sqrt{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} c_i c_j \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j}. \tag{4.5}$$

But

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} c_i c_j = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{a_i}{1 - a_i} \cdot \frac{a_j}{1 - a_j} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{a_i a_j}{(1 - a_i)(1 - a_j)},$$

and Theorem 4.1 yields

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \frac{a_i a_j}{(1 - a_i)(1 - a_j)} \ge \frac{n}{2(n - 1)}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} c_i c_j \ge \frac{n}{2(n-1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_{i}}{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, \ j \neq i} a_{j}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, \ j \neq i} b_{j} = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} c_{i}b_{j} \qquad \text{(by (4.4))}$$

$$\geq 2\sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{i}c_{j}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_{i}b_{j} \qquad \text{(by (4.5))}$$

$$\geq 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{2(n-1)} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_{i}b_{j}} = \sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} b_{i}b_{j}}.$$

Hence, it remains only to prove that

$$\sqrt{\frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j} \ge \frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}.$$

Upon squaring, this becomes

$$\frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j \ge \left(\frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}\right)^2,$$

and simplifies to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i\right)^2 \ge \frac{2n}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} b_i b_j.$$

But this is the inequality (4.3), applied to  $u_1 = b_1$ ,  $u_2 = b_2$ , ...,  $u_n = b_n$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

## 5. Another application

As another consequence of Theorem 1.1, we can show:

**Theorem 5.1.** For any three reals a, b, c, we have

$$((b+c)bc + (c+a)ca + (a+b)ab)^{2} \ge 4(bc+ca+ab)(b^{2}c^{2}+c^{2}a^{2}+a^{2}b^{2}).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Applying Theorem 1.2 for  $x=a^2$ ,  $y=b^2$ ,  $z=c^2$  (obviously,  $yz+zx+xy\geq 0$  is satisfied since  $yz+zx+xy=b^2c^2+c^2a^2+a^2b^2$ ), we get

$$(ab^2 + ac^2 + bc^2 + ba^2 + ca^2 + cb^2) \ge 4(bc + ca + ab)(b^2c^2 + c^2a^2 + a^2b^2),$$

what rewrites as

$$((b+c)bc+(c+a)ca+(a+b)ab)^2 \ge 4(bc+ca+ab)(b^2c^2+c^2a^2+a^2b^2)$$

and Theorem 5.1 is proven.

Note that the particular case of Theorem 5.1 when the reals a, b, c are nonnegative was used as Lemma 3 in [6], post #2.

With the help of Theorem 5.1, the following result can be shown:

**Theorem 5.2.** Let a, b, c be three reals, no two of which are zero. Then,

$$\frac{a^2(b+c)^2}{b^2+c^2} + \frac{b^2(c+a)^2}{c^2+a^2} + \frac{c^2(a+b)^2}{a^2+b^2} \ge 2(bc+ca+ab).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We have

$$\frac{a^{2}(b+c)^{2}}{b^{2}+c^{2}} + \frac{b^{2}(c+a)^{2}}{c^{2}+a^{2}} + \frac{c^{2}(a+b)^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}} = \frac{(a^{2}(b+c))^{2}}{a^{2}b^{2}+c^{2}a^{2}} + \frac{(b^{2}(c+a))^{2}}{b^{2}c^{2}+a^{2}b^{2}} + \frac{(c^{2}(a+b))^{2}}{c^{2}a^{2}+b^{2}c^{2}}$$

$$\geq \frac{(a^{2}(b+c)+b^{2}(c+a)+c^{2}(a+b))^{2}}{(a^{2}b^{2}+c^{2}a^{2})+(b^{2}c^{2}+a^{2}b^{2})+(c^{2}a^{2}+b^{2}c^{2})}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the Engel form. Thus, it remains to prove that

$$\frac{\left(a^2\left(b+c\right)+b^2\left(c+a\right)+c^2\left(a+b\right)\right)^2}{\left(a^2b^2+c^2a^2\right)+\left(b^2c^2+a^2b^2\right)+\left(c^2a^2+b^2c^2\right)}\geq 2\left(bc+ca+ab\right).$$

This rewrites as

$$\frac{((b+c)bc + (c+a)ca + (a+b)ab)^2}{2(b^2c^2 + c^2a^2 + a^2b^2)} \ge 2(bc + ca + ab),$$

what simplifies to

$$((b+c)bc + (c+a)ca + (a+b)ab)^{2} \ge 4(bc+ca+ab)(b^{2}c^{2}+c^{2}a^{2}+a^{2}b^{2}).$$

But this follows from Theorem 5.1. Thus, Theorem 5.2 is proved.

The particular case of Theorem 5.2 when the reals a, b, c are nonnegative is problem 7.8.1 in [3], where it is proven using the Sum of Squares (SOS) method.

### 6. An USA TST problem

Our final application of Theorem 1.1 will be problem 6 from the USA TST 2001, which has received some different solutions in [2]:

**Theorem 6.1.** Let a, b, c be three positive reals such that  $a+b+c \ge abc$ . Then, at least two of the three inequalities  $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{6}{c} \ge 6$ ,  $\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} \ge 6$  and  $\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} \ge 6$  are true.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Assume the contrary, i. e. assume that at most one of the three inequalities  $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{3}{b} + \frac{6}{c} \ge 6$ ,  $\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} \ge 6$  and  $\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} \ge 6$  is true. Then, we can WLOG say that  $\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} < 6$  and  $\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} < 6$ . But, applying Theorem 1.2 to the six reals 2, 3, 6,  $\frac{1}{a}$ ,  $\frac{1}{b}$ ,  $\frac{1}{c}$  (which surely satisfy  $3 \cdot 6 + 6 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 \ge 0$ ), we obtain

$$\left(2 \cdot \frac{1}{b} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{c} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{c} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{a} + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{a} + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{b}\right)^{2}$$

$$\geq 4 \left(3 \cdot 6 + 6 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3\right) \left(\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{b}\right).$$

In other words,

$$\left(\left(\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b}\right)\right)^2 \ge 4 \cdot 36 \cdot \frac{a+b+c}{abc}.$$

Since  $a+b+c \ge abc$ , we have  $\frac{a+b+c}{abc} \ge 1$ , and thus this entails

$$\left( \left( \frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} \right) + \left( \frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} \right) \right)^2 \ge 4 \cdot 36.$$

On the other hand,  $\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} < 6$  and  $\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} < 6$  imply

$$\left( \left( \frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a} \right) + \left( \frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b} \right) \right)^2 < (6+6)^2 = 4 \cdot 36.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, our assumption was wrong, and Theorem 6.1 is proved. As a sidenote, a different proof of Theorem 6.1 can be obtained by showing that

$$\left(\frac{2}{b} + \frac{3}{c} + \frac{6}{a}\right) \left(\frac{2}{c} + \frac{3}{a} + \frac{6}{b}\right) \ge (3 \cdot 6 + 6 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3) \left(\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a} \cdot \frac{1}{b}\right).$$

This follows from Theorem 10 **j**) in my note [7], applied to the six nonnegative reals 2, 3, 6,  $\frac{1}{a}$ ,  $\frac{1}{b}$ ,  $\frac{1}{c}$  (noting that 2, 3, 6 are the squares of the sidelengths of a triangle).

### References

- [1] Sunchips et al., MathLinks topic #105871 ("6 variables").
- http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=105871
  - [2] Hxtung et al., MathLinks topic #139 ("Inequaly: USA selection team").
- http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=139
  - [3] Vasile Cîrtoaje, Algebraic Inequalities Old and New Methods, Gil 2006.
  - [4] Darij Grinberg, Math Time problem proposal #1.
  - [5] Harazi et al., MathLinks topic #1688 ("Nice inequality comes back").
- http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=1688
  - [6] Pvthuan et al., MathLinks topic #21679 ("easy or difficult").
- http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/viewtopic.php?t=21679
- [7] Darij Grinberg, The Vornicu-Schur inequality and its variations (MathLinks article).
- http://www.mathlinks.ro/Forum/portal.php?t=162684