

## Problems from the Book – Problem 19.9

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be  $n$  reals. Prove the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{ijw_iw_j}{i+j-1} \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2.$$

### *Solution by Darij Grinberg*

The following solution uses some linear algebra.

#### Notations.

- For any matrix  $A$ , we denote by  $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}$  the entry in the  $j$ -th column and the  $i$ -th row of  $A$ . [This is usually denoted by  $A_{ij}$  or by  $A_{i,j}$ .]
- Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $a_{i,j}$  be an element of  $k$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ . Then, we denote by  $(a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq u}^{1 \leq j \leq v}$  the  $u \times v$  matrix  $A$  which satisfies  $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = a_{i,j}$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, u\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, v\}$ .
- Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  be  $n$  objects. Let  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, we let  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, \widehat{t_m}, \dots, t_n)$  denote the  $(n-1)$ -tuple  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{m-2}, t_{m-1}, t_{m+1}, t_{m+2}, \dots, t_n)$  (that is, the  $(n-1)$ -tuple  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1})$  defined by  $s_i = \begin{cases} t_i, & \text{if } i < m; \\ t_{i+1}, & \text{if } i \geq m \end{cases}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ).
- Let  $L$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $T$  be a finite set. Let  $a : T \rightarrow L$  be a map. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define an element  $\sigma_k(a)$  of  $L$  by

$$\sigma_k(a) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq T; \\ |S|=k}} \prod_{i \in S} a(i).$$

[Many readers will notice that if  $T = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\sigma_k(a)$  is the  $k$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial evaluated at  $a(1), a(2), \dots, a(n)$ .]

The Viète theorem states that

$$\prod_{\ell \in T} (x - a(\ell)) = \sum_{k=0}^{|T|} (-1)^k \sigma_k(a) x^{|T|-k} \quad (1)$$

for every  $x \in L$ .

**Theorem 1 (Sylvester).** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix. Then, the matrix  $A$  is positive definite if and only if every

$m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies  $\det \left( \left( A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) > 0$ .

For a *proof of Theorem 1*, see any book on symmetric or Hermitian matrices.

**Theorem 2 (Cauchy determinant).** Let  $k$  be a field. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  be  $m$  elements of  $k$ . Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  be  $m$  elements of  $k$ . Assume that  $a_j \neq b_i$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$ . Then,

$$\det \left( \left( \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) = \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i))}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i)}.$$

In the following, I attempt to give the most conceptual proof of Theorem 2. First we recall a known fact we are not going to prove:

**Theorem 3 (Vandermonde determinant).** Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  be  $m$  elements of  $S$ . Then,

$$\det \left( (a_i^{j-1})_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j).$$

Besides, a trivial fact:

**Lemma 4.** Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $a \in S$ . In the ring  $S[X]$  (the polynomial ring over  $S$  in one indeterminate  $X$ ), the element  $X - a$  is not a zero divisor.

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Assume that  $X - a$  is a zero divisor in  $S[X]$ . Then, there exists a polynomial  $P(X) \in S[X]$  such that  $(X - a)P(X) = 0$  and  $P(X) \neq 0$ . Let  $n = \deg P$ ; then, there exist  $n + 1$  elements  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n$  of  $S$  such that  $r_n \neq 0$  and  $P(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k X^k$ . Define  $r_{n+1} \in S$  by  $r_{n+1} = 0$ . Define  $r_{-1} \in S$  by  $r_{-1} = 0$ . Then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_k X^k = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k X^k + \underbrace{r_{n+1}}_{=0} X^{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k X^k + 0 = \sum_{k=0}^n r_k X^k = P(X)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_{k-1} X^k &= \underbrace{r_{-1}}_{=r_{-1}=0} X^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k-1} X^k = 0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k-1} X^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} r_{k-1} X^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \underbrace{r_{(k+1)-1}}_{=r_k} \underbrace{X^{k+1}}_{=X^k X} \quad (\text{here we substituted } k+1 \text{ for } k \text{ in the sum}) \\ &= X \sum_{k=0}^n r_k X^k = XP(X). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (X - a)P(X) = \underbrace{XP(X)}_{=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_{k-1}X^k} - a \underbrace{P(X)}_{=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_kX^k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_{k-1}X^k - a \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} r_kX^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} (r_{k-1} - ar_k)X^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $r_{k-1} - ar_k \in S$  for every  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ , this yields  $r_{k-1} - ar_k = 0$  for every  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ . For  $k = n+1$ , this yields  $r_{(n+1)-1} - ar_{n+1} = 0$ . Thus,

$$0 = \underbrace{r_{(n+1)-1}}_{=r_n} - a \underbrace{r_{n+1}}_{=0} = r_n - a \cdot 0 = r_n,$$

what contradicts  $r_n \neq 0$ . Hence, our assumption that  $X - a$  is a zero divisor in  $S[X]$  was wrong. Therefore,  $X - a$  is not a zero divisor in  $S[X]$ . This proves Lemma 4.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . In the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  (the polynomial ring over  $R$  in  $m$  indeterminates  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ ), the element  $\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j)$  is not a zero divisor.

*Proof of Lemma 5.* We will first show that:

For any  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$  satisfying  $i > j$ , the element  $X_i - X_j$  of the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  is not a zero divisor. (2)

*Proof of (2).* Let  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]$  denote the sub- $R$ -algebra of  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  generated by the  $m - 1$  elements  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{i-2}, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, X_{i+2}, \dots, X_m$  (that is, the  $m$  elements  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$  except of  $X_i$ ). (In other words, define a sub- $R$ -algebra  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]$  of  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  by  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m] = R[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m-1}]$ , where we define  $m - 1$  elements  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{m-1}$  of  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  by  $y_j = \begin{cases} X_j, & \text{if } j < i; \\ X_{j+1}, & \text{if } j \geq i \end{cases}$  for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$ .)

Consider the ring  $\left(R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]\right)[X]$  (this is the polynomial ring over the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]$  in one indeterminate  $X$ ).

It is known that there exists an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism  $\phi : \left(R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]\right)[X] \rightarrow R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  such that  $\phi(X) = X_i$  and  $\phi(X_k) = X_k$  for every  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}$ .

Since  $i > j$  yields  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}$ , we have  $\phi(X_j) = X_j$  and thus  $\phi(X - X_j) = \underbrace{\phi(X)}_{=X_i} - \underbrace{\phi(X_j)}_{=X_j} = X_i - X_j$ . Since  $X - X_j$  is not a zero divisor in  $\left(R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]\right)[X]$

(by Lemma 4, applied to  $S = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, \widehat{X_i}, \dots, X_m]$  and  $a = X_j$ ), it follows that  $\phi(X - X_j)$  is not a zero divisor in  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  (since  $\phi$  is an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism). In other words,  $X_i - X_j$  is not a zero divisor in  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  (since  $\phi(X - X_j) = X_i - X_j$ ). This proves (2).

It is known that if we choose some elements of a ring such that each of these elements is not a zero divisor, then the product of these elements is not a zero divisor. Hence, (2) yields that the element  $\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j)$  of the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  is not

a zero divisor. This proves Lemma 5.

Now comes a rather useful fact:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  (the polynomial ring over  $R$  in  $m$  indeterminates  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ ). Define a map  $X : \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \rightarrow R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  by  $X(i) = X_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then,

$$\det \left( \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j).$$

*Proof of Theorem 6.* Theorem 3 (applied to  $S = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  and  $a_i = X_i$ ) yields

$$\det \left( (X_i^{j-1})_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j). \quad (3)$$

Let  $V = (X_i^{j-1})_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Then,  $V \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = X_i^{j-1}$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Since  $(X_i^{j-1})_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} = V$ , the equation (3) becomes

$$\det V = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j).$$

Let  $W = \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Then,  $W \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

For every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we can apply (1) to  $L = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$ ,  $x = X_j$ ,  $T = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}$  and  $a = X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}$ , and obtain

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_j - (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})(\ell)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) X_j^{(m-1)-k},$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &= |\{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}| = |\{1, 2, \dots, m\}| - 1 && (\text{since } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}) \\ &= m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})(\ell) = X(\ell) = X_\ell$  and  $X_j^{(m-1)-k} = X_j^{(m-k)-1}$ , this becomes

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_j - X_\ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) X_j^{(m-k)-1}. \quad (4)$$

Now, for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(WV^T) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{k=1}^m \underbrace{W \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}}_{=(-1)^{m-k} \sigma_{m-k}(X|_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})} \cdot \underbrace{V^T \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{=V \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} = X_j^{k-1}} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \sigma_{m-k}(X|_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) X_j^{k-1} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k(X|_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) X_j^{(m-k)-1} \\
&\quad \text{(here, we substituted } k \text{ for } m-k \text{ in the sum)} \\
&= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_j - X_\ell) \quad \text{(by (4)).} \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if  $j \neq i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(WV^T) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} &= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_j - X_\ell) = \underbrace{(X_j - X_j)}_{=0} \cdot \prod_{\ell \in (\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}) \setminus \{j\}} (X_j - X_\ell) \\
&\quad \text{(since } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}, \text{ because } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \text{ and } j \neq i) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the matrix  $WV^T$  is diagonal. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(WV^T) &= \prod_{i=1}^m (WV^T) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_i - X_\ell) \\
&\quad \left( \text{since (5), applied to } j = i, \text{ yields } (WV^T) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_i - X_\ell) \right) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_i - X_\ell) \\
&= \prod_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \prod_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (X_i - X_j) \quad \text{(here, we renamed } \ell \text{ as } j \text{ in the second product)} \\
&= \prod_{i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \prod_{\substack{j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}; \\ j \neq i}} (X_i - X_j) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j \neq i}} (X_i - X_j) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j) \\
&\quad \left( \text{since the set } \{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2 \mid j \neq i\} \text{ is the union of the two disjoint sets } \right. \\
&\quad \left. \{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2 \mid j > i\} \text{ and } \{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2 \mid i > j\} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

But on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(WV^T) &= \det W \cdot \det(V^T) \\ &= \det W \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j) \left( \text{since } \det(V^T) = \det V = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \det W \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j) &= \det(WV^T) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j). \end{aligned}$$

But since the element  $\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_i - X_j)$  of the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  is not a zero divisor (according to Lemma 5), this yields

$$\det W = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j).$$

Since  $W = \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j}(X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}$ , this becomes

$$\det \left( \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j}(X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j).$$

Thus, Theorem 6 is proven.

Next, we show:

**Theorem 7.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$  be  $m$  elements of  $R$ . Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  be  $m$  elements of  $R$ . Then,

$$\det \left( \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)).$$

*Proof of Theorem 7.* Consider the ring  $R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  (the polynomial ring over  $R$  in  $m$  indeterminates  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ ). Define a map  $X : \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \rightarrow R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$  by  $X(i) = X_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{V} = (a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}$ . Then,  $\tilde{V} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = a_i^{j-1}$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Besides,

$$\det \tilde{V} = \det \left( (a_i^{j-1})_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \quad (\text{by Theorem 3}).$$

Let  $W = \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Then,  $W \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

For every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we can apply (1) to  $L = R[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m]$ ,  $x = a_j$ ,  $T = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}$  and  $a = X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}$ , and obtain

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})(\ell)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) a_j^{(m-1)-k},$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &= |\{1, 2, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i\}| = |\{1, 2, \dots, m\}| - 1 && (\text{since } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}) \\ &= m - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})(\ell) = X(\ell) = X_\ell$  and  $a_j^{(m-1)-k} = a_j^{(m-k)-1}$ , this becomes

$$\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) a_j^{(m-k)-1}. \quad (6)$$

Now, for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (W \tilde{V}^T) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{k=1}^m \underbrace{W \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix}}_{=(-1)^{m-k} \sigma_{m-k} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}})} \cdot \underbrace{\tilde{V}^T \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{=\tilde{V} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j \end{bmatrix} = a_j^{k-1}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^{m-k} \sigma_{m-k} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) a_j^{k-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \sigma_k (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) a_j^{(m-k)-1} \\ &\quad (\text{here, we substituted } k \text{ for } m-k \text{ in the sum}) \\ &= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell) \quad (\text{by (6)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$W \tilde{V}^T = \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \left( \underbrace{\left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - X_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}}_{=W\tilde{V}^T} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq m} = \det (W\tilde{V}^T) = \det W \cdot \det (\tilde{V}^T) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \\
&\quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{since } \det W = \det \left( \left( (-1)^{m-j} \sigma_{m-j} (X \mid_{\{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}}) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq m} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ j > i}} (X_i - X_j) \\ \text{by Theorem 6 and } \det (\tilde{V}^T) = \det \tilde{V} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \end{array} \right) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{(j,i) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_j - X_i) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \\
&\quad (\text{here, we renamed } i \text{ and } j \text{ as } j \text{ and } i \text{ in the first product}) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (X_j - X_i) \cdot \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j) \\
&\quad (\text{since } (j,i) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2 \text{ is equivalent to } (i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((X_j - X_i)(a_i - a_j)) = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(X_j - X_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Both sides of this identity are polynomials over the ring  $R$  in  $m$  indeterminates  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ . Evaluating these polynomials at  $X_1 = b_1, X_2 = b_2, \dots, X_m = b_m$ , we obtain

$$\det \left( \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq m} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)).$$

This proves Theorem 7.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $Q = \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Then,  $Q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell)$  for every  $i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$  and  $j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$ . Also,

$$\det Q = \det \left( \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \right)_{1 \leq j \leq m} = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 7}).$$

Let  $P = \left( \begin{cases} \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_i - b_\ell), & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Then,

$P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_i - b_\ell) \right)^{-1}, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$  for every  $i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$  and  $j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$ . Thus,  $P$  is a diagonal matrix, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \det P &= \prod_{j=1}^m P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \underbrace{P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j \end{bmatrix}}_{=\left(\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell)\right)^{-1}, \text{ since } j=j} \\ &= \prod_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)^{-1} = \left( \prod_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left( \prod_{(\ell,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)^{-1} = \left( \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \text{(here, we renamed } \ell \text{ as } i \text{ in the product).} \end{aligned}$$

Now, for every  $i \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$  and  $j \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (QP) \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{k=1}^m Q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} Q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{j\}} Q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{=0, \text{ since} \\ k \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{j\} \\ \text{yields } k \neq j}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k \in \{j\}} Q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_{=Q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j \end{bmatrix}} \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{k \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{j\}} Q \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i \end{bmatrix} \cdot 0}_{=0} + Q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{Q \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}}_{=\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell)} \underbrace{P \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j \end{bmatrix}}_{=\left(\prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell)\right)^{-1}, \text{ since } j=j} \\ &= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \cdot \left( \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \cdot \left( (a_j - b_i) \cdot \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left( \text{since } \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}} (a_j - b_\ell) = (a_j - b_i) \cdot \prod_{\ell \in \{1,2,\dots,m\} \setminus \{i\}} (a_j - b_\ell) \right) \\ &= (a_j - b_i)^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_j - b_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$QP = \left( \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \underbrace{\left( \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}}}_{=QP} \right) &= \det(QP) = \det Q \cdot \det P \\ &= \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)) \cdot \left( \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i) \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left( \text{since } \det Q = \prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i)) \text{ and } \det P = \left( \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i) \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i))}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Theorem 2 is proven.

**Theorem 8.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be  $n$  pairwise distinct reals. Let  $c$  be a real such that  $a_i + a_j + c > 0$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^2$ . Then,

the matrix  $\left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive definite.

*Proof of Theorem 8.* Let  $A = \left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}}$ . Then,  $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c}$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Thus,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix (since  $A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} = \frac{1}{a_j + a_i + c} = A \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ).

Define  $n$  reals  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  by  $b_i = -a_i - c$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $a_j \neq b_i$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$  (since  $a_j - b_i = a_j - (-a_i - c) = a_i + a_j + c > 0$  yields  $a_j > b_i$ ). Thus, Theorem 2 (applied to  $k = \mathbb{R}$ ) yields

$$\det \left( \left( \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq m}} \right) = \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i))}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i)}.$$

Thus, every  $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det \left( \left( A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) = \det \left( \left( \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}^{1 \leq j \leq m} \right) \\
& \quad \left( \text{since } A \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} = \frac{1}{a_j - (-a_i - c)} = \frac{1}{a_j - b_i} \right) \\
& = \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} ((a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i))}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_j - b_i)} = \frac{\prod_{\substack{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2; \\ i > j}} (a_i - a_j)^2}{\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,\dots,m\}^2} (a_i + a_j + c)} \\
& \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{since } (a_i - a_j)(b_j - b_i) = (a_i - a_j) \underbrace{((-a_j - c) - (-a_i - c))}_{=-a_j - c + a_i + c = a_i - a_j} = (a_i - a_j)^2 \\ \text{and } a_j - b_i = a_j - (-a_i - c) = a_i + a_j + c \end{array} \right) \\
& > 0
\end{aligned}$$

(since  $(a_i - a_j)^2 > 0$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$  satisfying  $i > j$  (because  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are pairwise distinct, so that  $a_i \neq a_j$ , thus  $a_i - a_j \neq 0$  and therefore  $(a_i - a_j)^2 > 0$ ), and  $a_i + a_j + c > 0$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}^2$ ).

Hence, according to Theorem 1, the symmetric matrix  $A$  is positive definite. Since  $A = \left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n}$ , this means that the matrix  $\left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n}$  is positive definite. Thus, Theorem 8 is proven.

**Corollary 9.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be  $n$  pairwise distinct reals. Let  $c$  be a real such that  $a_i + a_j + c > 0$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^2$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  be  $n$  reals. Then, the inequality  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq 0$  holds, with equality if and only if  $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$ .

*Proof of Corollary 9.* Define a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \dots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,

$$v^T \left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n} v = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} v_i v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c}. \quad (7)$$

Also, obviously,

$$v = 0 \text{ holds if and only if } v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0. \quad (8)$$

Now, since the matrix  $\left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive definite (by Theorem 8), we have  $v^T \left( \frac{1}{a_i + a_j + c} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}^{1 \leq j \leq n} v \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $v = 0$ . According to (7) and (8), this means that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$ . Thus, Corollary 9 is proven.

**Corollary 10.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be  $n$  pairwise distinct reals. Let  $c$  be a real such that  $a_i + a_j + c > 0$  for every  $(i, j) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^2$ . Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be  $n$  reals. Then, the inequality  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq -c \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2$  holds, with equality if and only if  $(c + a_1) w_1 = (c + a_2) w_2 = \dots = (c + a_n) w_n = 0$ .

*Proof of Corollary 10.* Define  $n$  reals  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  by  $v_i = (c + a_i) w_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} - \left( -c \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} + c \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} + c \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \\
&\quad \left( \text{since } \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n w_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} + c w_i w_j \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{a_i a_j}{a_i + a_j + c} + c \right) w_i w_j \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j + (a_i + a_j + c) c}{a_i + a_j + c} w_i w_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(c + a_i)(c + a_j)}{a_i + a_j + c} w_i w_j \\
&\quad \left( \text{since } a_i a_j + (a_i + a_j + c) c = a_i a_j + a_i c + a_j c + c^2 = (c + a_i)(c + a_j) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(c + a_i) w_i (c + a_j) w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \quad \left( \text{since } (c + a_i) w_i = v_i \text{ and } (c + a_j) w_j = v_j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq -c \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2 \text{ holds if and only if } \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

Also, clearly,

$$v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0 \text{ holds if and only if } (c + a_1) w_1 = (c + a_2) w_2 = \dots = (c + a_n) w_n = 0. \tag{10}$$

By Corollary 9, the inequality  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{v_i v_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq 0$  holds, with equality if and only if  $v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_n = 0$ . According to (9) and (10), this means that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_i a_j w_i w_j}{a_i + a_j + c} \geq -c \left( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \right)^2$ , with equality if and only if  $(c + a_1) w_1 = (c + a_2) w_2 = \dots = (c + a_n) w_n = 0$ . Thus, Corollary 10 is proven.

The problem follows from Corollary 10 (applied to  $c = -1$  and  $a_i = i$ ).