law library I discovered that not only is it in violation of CCR15  $\S$  3131 because it is not approved by the CDC director, but also its  $\S\S$  X(1)(D) and X(2)(D) violate the stare decisis of several recent rulings by federal courts, including a permanent injunction issued against CDC in 2002, that prohibits prisons from banning or limiting the volume of Internet-generated mail and not allowing clippings and photocopies of clippings from newspapers and magazines.

- 11. On 4/26/05, the mailroom returned to me unmailed 1 envelope of outgoing confidential (/legal) mail I submitted 8 days earlier to Commissioner Sam Bubrick of the L.A. County Superior Court of California. Although I had submitted the envelope into the prison's mail system with a CDC form 193 attached so postage could be charged against my trust account, the mailroom claims the trust withdrawal order did not arrive with it.
- 12. On 4/26/05, an administrative grievance sent 7 days earlier thru the SATF appeals coordinator as confidential (/legal) mail to the appeals coordinator at the Calif. State Prison in L.A. County was obstructed by SATF staff again because of my indigent status. I therefore had to beg stamps from other prisoners in violation of CCR15 § 3010 so the envelope could reach its intended destination.
- 13. On 4/26/05, while the envelopes discussed above at ## 11 & 12 were being processed by officers in my housing unit for mailing as confidential correspondence, I was denigrated for lawfully and responsibly exercising my constitutional right to report to the warden the mail violations described herein. I documented the harassment and reported it to the warden in a memo dated 4/27/05.
- 14. On 4/28/05, the mailroom returned to me unmailed 2 envelopes of outgoing confidential (/legal) mail I submitted 7 days earlier to the Prison Law Office and the Kings County District Attorney's Office. In further violation of CCR15 § 3010, I had to borrow stamps so the envelopes could get mailed. I then reported the matter to the warden in a memo dated 5/1/05.
- 15. As of 5/1/05, the SATF warden has not responded in any manner whatsoever to a single one of my several written reports of the law and rights violations described herein.

Wherefore, in light of evidence that SATE staff are going to continue violating my rights and the law despite my attempts to have the problems described herein addressed and satisfactorily resolved at the lowest possible level (the warden's), I bring this matter to your attention and request prompt and effective assistance preventing any further obstruction of my incoming and outgoing regular and confidential mail.

Appreciatively,

Ein OUM