

"not authorized to use indigent envelopes." The returned envelopes were that night forwarded directly to the warden with a memo reporting the continuing problem.

5. On 4/7/05, the mailroom returned to me unmailed 6 envelopes of outgoing confidential (/legal) mail I submitted 4 days earlier to: 1) Justice Blease of the Calif. 3rd Dist. Court of Appeal; 2) the presiding judge of the Amador County Superior Court of Calif.; 3) the warden of the Calif. State Prison in L.A. County; 4) the Amador County Superior Court of Calif.; 5) Deputy Attorney General K.L. Pollard; and 6) the L.A. County Superior Court of California. An unsigned Post-It® note accompanying the returned envelopes indicates the mailroom arbitrarily returned them because I had used only one CDC form 193 instead of six so postage could be charged against my trust account (note: no rule, regulation, policy, or procedure requires just one CDC form 193 per envelope). That afternoon I prepared for mailing to the warden with the returned envelopes a larger envelope and a memo in which I report the capricious obstruction of my mail.
6. On 4/7/05, a prison guard in my housing unit failed/refused to process as confidential mail the envelope addressed to the warden (discussed above at #5) containing the six envelopes of obstructed confidential (/legal) mail. Thus, the envelope could not be mailed until 4/10/05, at which time I reported the guard's unlawful omission.
7. On 4/12/05, the mailroom returned to me unmailed 1 envelope of outgoing confidential (/legal) mail I submitted 2 days earlier to the chief of CDC's Inmate Appeals Branch regarding an administrative grievance needing a Director's-level response to exhaust administrative remedies. The mailroom's accompanying memo also falsely accuses me of abusing "indigent status" because I do not have funds in my trust account to cover postage with the CDC form 193 I attached. The unlawfully returned envelope was forwarded directly to the warden on 4/17/05 with a memo reporting the problem.
8. On 4/15/05, I received back from the warden without any type of acknowledgment or response not only the large envelope I mailed to him on 4/3/05 but also all 8 of the obstructed smaller envelopes contained therein (see above at #3). After affixing stamps I was forced to beg from other prisoners in violation of CCR15 § 3010, I deposited the 8 envelopes into the mailbox and sent the warden a memo dated 4/17/05 addressing his unresponsiveness to the obstructed mail.
9. On 4/17/05, housing-unit staff not only refused to process as confidential mail the two envelopes addressed to the warden discussed above at ## 7 & 8, but also harassed me with physically threatening and otherwise inappropriate misconduct after and because I asserted (respectfully) my right and their duty in regard thereto (i.e., CCR15 §§ 3141 & 3142). I documented the incident and reported it to the warden in an affidavit journal entry dated 4/17/05.
10. On 4/18/05, the mailroom unlawfully took from an envelope mailed to me by a correspondent approximately 30 pages of allowable enclosures not meeting the definition of contraband given within CDC regulations (CCR15 § 3006). Accompanying the envelope out which the authorized enclosures had been removed was a document referencing section X(1)(D) of SATF Operational Procedure #129 as authority for withholding/disallowing the pages. Upon reviewing the O.P. at the